

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/729,018	MILLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(3) _____.

(2) Andrew M. Douglas.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

30, 53-55, 58-59

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to claims 30, 53-54, and 58-59, as set forth in the attached examiner's amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to correct inadvertent errors in the claims. Applicant's representative also authorized the cancellation of claim 55 which was a duplicate of claim 54.